

GREENBERG APPROXIMATION AND THE GEOMETRY OF ARC SPACES

JOHANNES NICAISE AND JULIEN SEBAG

ABSTRACT. We study the differential properties of generalized arc schemes and geometric versions of Kolchin's Irreducibility Theorem over arbitrary base fields. As an intermediate step, we prove an approximation result for arcs by algebraic curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we study geometric, topological and differential properties of *generalized arc schemes*.

One of the questions we deal with is their irreducibility. Let k be a field. In differential algebra, *Kolchin's Irreducibility Theorem* states that, for any prime ideal I in an algebra of finite type over a field k of characteristic zero, the radical differential ideal $\{I\}$ associated to I is again prime [11, Prop. 10, p. 200].

In [14, 3.3], we observed that the arc space of a k -scheme of finite type X can be constructed in terms of differential algebra. Roughly speaking, the arc space associated to X is a k -scheme $\mathcal{L}(X)$ which parametrizes $k[[t]]$ -points on X . It contains deep information on the structure of the singularities of X and plays a fundamental role in the theory of motivic integration. In this setting, Kolchin's Irreducibility Theorem states that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is irreducible if X is. We'll refer to this result as the *Arc Scheme Irreducibility Theorem*. We gave a purely geometric proof of this theorem, using resolution of singularities, and a counterexample to show that it does not extend to positive characteristic [14, Rmq. 1].

More recently, in [17, 2.9], Reguera established a modified form of the Arc Scheme Irreducibility Theorem, when k is a *perfect* field of positive characteristic. In the present article, we give a counterexample to show that her result fails if k is imperfect (Theorem 3.19), and we show how it can be adapted for arbitrary fields (Theorem 3.15). Our result states that, for any field k and any k -scheme of finite type X , there exists a natural bijective correspondence between the set of geometrically reduced irreducible components of X , and the set of irreducible components of $\mathcal{L}(X) \setminus \mathcal{L}(nSm(X))$. Here $nSm(X)$ denotes the non-smooth locus of X over k . The results presented in this article clarify the status of the Arc Scheme Irreducibility Theorem over arbitrary fields and incorporate all previously known cases.

As an intermediate result of independent interest, we prove that points on $\mathcal{L}(X)$ can be approximated by algebraic curves C on X , in a sense which is made precise in Theorem 3.12. Since the topology of $\mathcal{L}(C)$ is easier to control (Lemma 3.13) one can use Theorem 3.12 to study the topology of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ (see for instance Proposition 3.18). Our approximation result is based on the following fundamental theorem by Greenberg [3, Thm. 1] (we state it in a slightly different, but equivalent form).

Theorem 1.1 (Greenberg’s Approximation Theorem). *Let R be an excellent henselian discrete valuation ring with uniformizer π . For any R -scheme of finite type X there exists an integer $a \geq 1$ such that for any integer $\nu \geq 1$ the images of the natural maps*

$$X(R/(\pi^{a\nu})) \rightarrow X(R/(\pi^\nu)) \text{ and } X(R) \rightarrow X(R/(\pi^\nu))$$

coincide.

Besides irreducibility, it is natural to ask which other properties of schemes are preserved by the arc space functor (reducedness, noetherianity, connectedness, . . .). In Section 2 we obtain some results in this direction, placing ourselves in the most general setting: arc schemes $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$ of arbitrary morphisms of schemes $X \rightarrow S$. We call these objects *generalized arc schemes*. Working on this level of generality is useful, for instance, if one wants to consider the *scheme of wedges* of a relative scheme $X \rightarrow S$ as the iterated arc scheme $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(X/S)/S)$ of X/S , *i.e.* if one studies infinitesimal deformations of arcs (Definition 3.8). The wedge scheme plays an important role in the study of the Nash problem [16, 5.1]. Moreover, extending the theory to arbitrary relative schemes yields a very natural proof of the Arc Scheme Irreducibility Theorem for arbitrary schemes over a field of characteristic zero (Theorem 3.6). Our proof does not use resolution of singularities and relies on the interpretation of arc schemes in terms of differential algebra (Corollary 3.3).

We show that the generalized arc schemes have many properties with a differential flavor, even in positive characteristic. Our main theorem in this direction is the characterization of formally unramified morphisms (Theorem 2.5(1)). These differential properties are then applied in our study of the geometry of arc schemes.

To conclude this introduction, we give a survey of the structure of the paper. Section 2 studies the differential properties of arc schemes. We recall the general construction of arc schemes in Section 2.1, we establish some basic properties in Section 2.2, and we develop the relations between the geometry of arc schemes and the differential properties of morphisms of schemes in Section 2.3.

In Section 3 we focus on the topological properties of arc schemes, relying on the results we proved in Section 2. Section 3.1 contains some preliminaries. In Section 3.2 we interpret the arc scheme in terms of differential algebra, and we use this interpretation in Section 3.3 to give a short geometric proof of the Arc Scheme Irreducibility Theorem for arbitrary schemes over a field of characteristic zero. Section 3.4 gives an application of this result to wedge schemes. Next, we prove our approximation result for arcs by algebraic curves in Section 3.5. This result is used in the topological study of the arc scheme in Section 3.6, where we prove various forms of the Arc Scheme Irreducibility Theorem over arbitrary base fields. At the end of the section, we show that there exists, over any imperfect field k , a regular irreducible k -variety X such that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is not irreducible. This shows that the statement of [17, 2.9] does not extend to imperfect fields.

Notation. For any field k , a k -variety is a reduced separated k -scheme of finite type. A k -curve is a k -scheme of finite type of pure dimension one. We do not demand it to be separated, nor reduced.

We denote by $(\cdot)_{red}$ the endofunctor on the category of schemes mapping a scheme S to its maximal reduced closed subscheme S_{red} . For any scheme S , an

S -algebra (resp. S -field) is a ring (resp. field) A together with a morphism of schemes $\text{Spec } A \rightarrow S$.

For any field k and any k -scheme S , we denote by S_{alg} the set of points on S whose residue field is algebraic over k . Any morphism of k -schemes $T \rightarrow S$ maps T_{alg} to S_{alg} , so $(\cdot)_{alg}$ defines a functor from the category of k -schemes to the category of sets. If k^{alg} is an algebraic closure of k , then S_{alg} is the image of the natural map $S(k^{alg}) \rightarrow S$. If S is of finite type over k , then S_{alg} coincides with the set of closed points of S .

If X is a k -scheme of finite type over k , we denote by $Reg(X)$ the set of regular points of X , and by $Sm(X)$ the set of points where the structural morphism $X \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is smooth. These are open subsets of X and we endow them with the induced scheme structure. The complements of $Reg(X)$ and $Sm(X)$ in X , with their reduced induced closed subscheme structure, are denoted by $Sing(X)$ (the singular locus of X), resp. $nSm(X)$ (the non-smooth locus of X). We say that X has isolated singularities if $Sing(X)$ is a finite set of points.

For any scheme S and any integer $n \geq 0$, we put $S_n = S \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[t]/(t^{n+1})$.

2. ARC SPACES

2.1. Definition. We recall the definition of the arc scheme functor, for arbitrary relative schemes.

* Let S be any scheme, and X any S -scheme. By [1, 7.6.4], the Weil restriction

$$\prod_{S_n/S} (X \times_S S_n)$$

is representable by a S -scheme, which we denote by $\mathcal{L}_n(X/S)$. To be precise, the conditions in the statement of [1, 7.6.4] are not necessarily fulfilled by the S_n -scheme $X \times_S S_n$; however, going through the proof, one sees that one only has to verify that for any geometric point z of S , the image of any morphism of schemes $z \times_S S_n \rightarrow X \times_S S_n$ is contained in an affine open subscheme of $X \times_S S_n$. This is trivial, since $z \times_S S_n$ is a point. Observe that $\mathcal{L}_0(X/S)$ is canonically isomorphic to X , and that $\mathcal{L}_n(S/S)$ is canonically isomorphic to S for all $n \geq 0$. If $S = \text{Spec } A$, we also write $\mathcal{L}_n(X/A)$ instead of $\mathcal{L}_n(X/S)$.

* By functoriality of the Weil restriction, $\mathcal{L}_n(\cdot/S)$ defines an endofunctor on the category of S -schemes. By the proof of [1, 7.6.4], $\mathcal{L}_n(X/S)$ is affine if X and S are affine. By [1, 7.6.2], the functor $\mathcal{L}_n(\cdot/S)$ respects open, resp. closed immersions. By [1, 7.6.5], $\mathcal{L}_n(X/S)$ is separated, resp. of finite presentation, resp. smooth over S , if the same holds for X .

* For $m \geq n$ the closed immersion $S_n \rightarrow S_m$ defined by reduction modulo t^{n+1} induces a natural morphism of schemes $\pi_n^m : \mathcal{L}_m(X/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(X/S)$. The morphisms π_n^m are affine, so that the projective limit

$$\mathcal{L}(X/S) = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{L}_n(X/S)$$

exists in the category of schemes. We denote the natural projection morphisms by $\pi_n : \mathcal{L}(X/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(X/S)$.

Definition 2.1. *The scheme $\mathcal{L}_n(X/S)$ is called the n -jet scheme of X/S , and $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$ is called the arc scheme of X/S . The morphisms π_n and π_n^m are called truncation morphisms.*

By the canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_0(X/S) \cong X$, the truncation morphisms π_0^n and π_0 endow $\mathcal{L}_n(X/S)$ and $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$ with a natural structure of X -scheme. To uniformize notation, we will often put $\mathcal{L}_\infty(\cdot) = \mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ and $\pi_m^\infty = \pi_m$. We extend the usual ordering on $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ by imposing that $\infty \geq n$ for all n in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.

* It follows immediately from the definition that for any morphism of schemes $X \rightarrow S$, the arc scheme $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$ represents the functor from the category of S -algebras to the category of sets sending a S -algebra A to the set $\text{Hom}_S(\text{Spf } A[[t]], X)$. If X is affine, then the completion map

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Hom}_S(\text{Spec } A[[t]], X) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(\text{Spf } A[[t]], X)$$

is bijective and $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$ represents the functor $A \mapsto X(A[[t]])$. It is an interesting open question whether this property extends to all schemes X of finite type over $S = \text{Spec } k$ with k a field; this is the case iff the functor $A \mapsto X(A[[t]])$ is a sheaf for the Zariski topology on the category of k -algebras.

If $X \rightarrow S$ is any morphism of schemes and A is a *local* S -algebra, then (2.1) is still a bijection since any morphism $\text{Spec } A[[t]] \rightarrow X$ factors through an affine open subscheme of X . Hence, there exists a natural bijection $\mathcal{L}(X/S)(A) = X(A[[t]])$. In particular, for any S -field F , we have $\mathcal{L}(X/S)(F) = X(F[[t]])$.

* If $h : Y \rightarrow X$ is a morphism of S -schemes, then the natural morphisms of S -schemes $\mathcal{L}_n(h/S) : \mathcal{L}_n(Y/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(X/S)$ commute with the truncation morphisms and define a natural morphism of S -schemes $\mathcal{L}(h/S) : \mathcal{L}(Y/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X/S)$ by passing to the limit, so $\mathcal{L}(\cdot/S)$ defines an endofunctor on the category of S -schemes. If h is a closed immersion, then so is $\mathcal{L}(h/S)$, since it is a projective limit of closed immersions $\mathcal{L}_n(h/S)$. It is easily seen that $\mathcal{L}(\cdot/S)$ also respects open immersions; see Theorem 2.5(3) for a more general statement.

* The tautological morphism

$$X \rightarrow \prod_{S_n/S} (X \times_S S_n)$$

defines a section $\tau_{X/S}^n : X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(X/S)$ for the projection morphism $\pi_0^n : \mathcal{L}_n(X/S) \rightarrow X$ for each $n \geq 0$, and by passing to the limit, we get a section $\tau_{X/S} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X/S)$ for $\pi_0 : \mathcal{L}(X/S) \rightarrow X$ which sends a point x of X to the constant arc at x . The truncation morphisms π_0^n and π_0 are affine, hence separated, which implies that the sections $\tau_{X/S}^n$ and $\tau_{X/S}$ are closed immersions.

* A morphism of schemes $T \rightarrow S$ induces a natural base change morphism

$$\mathcal{L}_n(X/S) \times_S T \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(X \times_S T/T)$$

for any S -scheme X , and a natural forgetful morphism

$$\mathcal{L}_n(Y/T) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(Y/S)$$

for any T -scheme Y . These morphisms are compatible with the truncation morphisms π_n^m . Taking limits, we get similar morphisms on the level of arc spaces. The forgetful morphism

$$T \cong \mathcal{L}_n(T/T) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(T/S)$$

coincides with $\tau_{T/S}^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and with $\tau_{T/S}$ for $n = \infty$. In particular, it is a closed immersion.

* We'll consider two natural topologies on $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$. The *Zariski topology* on the scheme $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$ coincides with the limit topology w.r.t. the Zariski topology on the schemes $\mathcal{L}_n(X/S)$, by [7, 8.2.9]. Besides, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.2 (*t-adic topology*). *The t-adic topology on $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$ is the limit topology on $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$ w.r.t. the discrete topology on the schemes $\mathcal{L}_n(X/S)$.*

2.2. Basic properties. We establish some fundamental properties of the arc scheme.

Proposition 2.3. *Let S be any scheme, let X, Y, Z, T be schemes over S , and let $W \rightarrow V$ be a morphism of T -schemes. Fix a value $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.*

- (1) *The functor $\mathcal{L}_n(\cdot/S)$ commutes with base change: the natural base change morphism*

$$\mathcal{L}_n(X/S) \times_S T \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X \times_S T/T)$$

is an isomorphism.

- (2) *The functor $\mathcal{L}(\cdot/S)$ commutes with fibered products: for any S -morphisms $X \rightarrow Z$ and $Y \rightarrow Z$, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{L}_n(X \times_Z Y/S) \cong \mathcal{L}_n(X/S) \times_{\mathcal{L}_n(Z/S)} \mathcal{L}_n(Y/S)$$

- (3) *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{L}_n(W/T) \cong \mathcal{L}_n(W/S) \times_{\mathcal{L}_n(V/S)} \mathcal{L}_n(V/T)$$

- (4) *The natural forgetful morphism*

$$\mathcal{L}_n(W/T) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(W/S)$$

is a closed immersion.

- (5) *The natural morphism $X_{\text{red}} \rightarrow X$ induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{L}(X_{\text{red}}/S)_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X/S)_{\text{red}}$. In particular, the natural morphism $\mathcal{L}(X_{\text{red}}/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X/S)$ is a homeomorphism.*

- (6) *If $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$ is reduced, then X is reduced.*

- (7) *If the truncation morphism $\pi_0^n : \mathcal{L}_n(T/S) \rightarrow T$ is an isomorphism then the forgetful morphism $\mathcal{L}_n(W/T) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(W/S)$ is an isomorphism. Likewise, if $(\pi_0^n)_{\text{red}} : \mathcal{L}_n(T/S)_{\text{red}} \rightarrow T_{\text{red}}$ is an isomorphism then $\mathcal{L}_n(W/T)_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(W/S)_{\text{red}}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Points (1), (2) and (3) are straightforward, and (4) follows from (3) by taking $V = T$. So let us start with (5). Since

$$\mathcal{L}(X_{\text{red}}/S)(F) = X_{\text{red}}(F[[t]]) = X(F[[t]]) = \mathcal{L}(X/S)(F)$$

for any S -field F , we see that the natural closed immersion $\mathcal{L}(X_{\text{red}}/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X/S)$ is bijective. Hence, $\mathcal{L}(X_{\text{red}}/S)_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X/S)_{\text{red}}$ is an isomorphism.

(6) If $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$ is reduced, then the composition of the natural section $\tau_{X/S} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X/S)$ with the morphism $(\pi_0)_{\text{red}} : \mathcal{L}(X/S) \rightarrow X_{\text{red}}$ defines a left inverse $X \rightarrow X_{\text{red}}$ for the natural closed immersion $X_{\text{red}} \rightarrow X$. This is only possible if X is reduced.

(7) follows from (3) by putting $V = T$. □

Remark. 1. Proposition 2.3(7) is reminiscent of the first fundamental exact sequence for modules of differentials. In fact, the first part of Proposition 2.3(7) can be deduced from the first fundamental exact sequence for Hasse-Schmidt derivations [18, 2.1].

2. The converse of (6) does not hold. For instance, consider the case where k is a field of characteristic 2, and put $S = \operatorname{Spec} k(u)$ and $X = \operatorname{Spec} k(u)[x]/(x^2 + u)$. For a counterexample in characteristic zero, consider the complex cusp $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(y^2 - x^3)$ (see [17, 3.16]). It would be interesting to find a characterization of the (complex) varieties with reduced arc scheme, and more generally to understand the geometric meaning of the non-reduced structure of the jet schemes and the arc scheme. $\square$

2.3. Differential properties of the arc scheme. We'll show that the structure of the arc scheme is closely related to the differential properties of morphisms of schemes. First, we need an elementary lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let k be any field, let k' be an algebraic extension of k , and let K be any field containing k . If $\varphi : k' \rightarrow K[[t]]$ is a morphism of k -algebras, then the image of φ is contained in K .*

Proof. Suppose that L is an algebraic field extension of K inside $K[[t]]$. It suffices to show that $K = L$. Let $\alpha = \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i t^i$ be a non-zero element of L , with $\alpha_i \in K$ for all i , and denote by $p(x) \in K[x]$ its minimal polynomial over K . Let $j \geq 0$ be the smallest index such that $\alpha_j \neq 0$ and suppose that $j > 0$. We will deduce a contradiction.

We may assume that α is either separable or purely inseparable over K . In the first case,

$$0 = p(\alpha) \equiv p(\alpha_0) + (\partial_x p)(\alpha_0) \cdot \alpha_j \cdot t^j \pmod{t^{j+1}}$$

which is impossible because $\alpha_j \neq 0$ by assumption and p is separable. In the second case, $p(x)$ is of the form $x^{p^m} - a$, with $p > 0$ the characteristic of k , and

$$0 = p(\alpha) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (\alpha_i)^{p^m} t^{ip^m} - a$$

which yields the contradiction $\alpha_j = 0$. $\square$

Theorem 2.5. *Let U be any scheme, and let $h : T \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of U -schemes.*

- (1) *The following are equivalent:*
 - (a) *the morphism $T \rightarrow S$ is formally unramified;*
 - (b) *there exists an integer $m \in \mathbb{N}^* \cup \{\infty\}$ such that the truncation morphism $\pi_0^m : \mathcal{L}_m(T/S) \rightarrow T$ is an isomorphism;*
 - (c) *$\pi_0^m : \mathcal{L}_m(T/S) \rightarrow T$ is an isomorphism for each $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.**If T is Noetherian, then these properties are also equivalent to:*
 - (d) *the arc scheme $\mathcal{L}(T/S)$ is Noetherian.*
- (2) *If $T \rightarrow S$ is formally smooth, then the following properties hold:*
 - (a) *the morphism $\pi_n^m : \mathcal{L}_m(T/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(T/S)$ is surjective for each pair $m \geq n$ in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$,*
 - (b) *the morphism $\mathcal{L}_n(T/U) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(S/U)$ is formally smooth for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$,*

(c) the natural morphism

$$\mathcal{L}_m(T/U) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_m(S/U) \times_{\mathcal{L}_n(S/U)} \mathcal{L}_n(T/U)$$

is surjective for each pair $m \geq n$ in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.

(3) If $T \rightarrow S$ is formally étale, then the natural diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}_m(T/U) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_m(S/U) \\ \pi_n^m \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_n^m \\ \mathcal{L}_n(T/U) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_n(S/U) \end{array}$$

is Cartesian for each pair $m \geq n$ in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.

(4) Let $k \subset k'$ be S -fields.

(a) If k' is separable over k , then $\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{Spec} k'/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{Spec} k/S)$ is surjective.

(b) If k' is algebraic over k then $(\pi_0)_{\mathrm{red}} : \mathcal{L}(\mathrm{Spec} k'/k)_{\mathrm{red}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k'$ is an isomorphism. The converse holds if k' is finite over a separably generated extension of k .

(5) If $T \rightarrow S$ is locally of finite type, then the following are equivalent:

(a) the morphism $T \rightarrow S$ is quasi-finite;

(b) the morphism $(\pi_0)_{\mathrm{red}} : \mathcal{L}(T/S)_{\mathrm{red}} \rightarrow T_{\mathrm{red}}$ is an isomorphism;

(c) the morphism $(\pi_0)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is of finite type.

If, moreover, T is Noetherian, then these properties are also equivalent to:

(d) the scheme $\mathcal{L}(T/S)_{\mathrm{red}}$ is Noetherian.

Proof. (1) The implications (c) $\Rightarrow$ (b) and (c) $\Rightarrow$ (d) are trivial.

It follows immediately from the definition that $\mathcal{L}_1(T/S)$ is naturally isomorphic, as a T -scheme, to the relative tangent scheme $\mathbf{Spec}(\mathrm{Sym}(\Omega_{T/S}))$, so (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) by [8, 17.2.1].

Assume that (a) holds. It is enough to prove (c) for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ (the case $m = \infty$ follows by passing to the limit). The property (c) is equivalent to the property that for each S -scheme Z , the natural map $\mathrm{Hom}_S(Z_m, T) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_S(Z, T)$ is a bijection. This map is always surjective, by the existence of the natural section $Z_m \rightarrow Z$ for the truncation morphism $Z \rightarrow Z_m$. It is injective by the infinitesimal lifting criterion for formally unramified morphisms [8, 17.1.1].

Now assume that (b) holds. We will deduce (a). We may assume that $S = \mathrm{Spec} A$ and $T = \mathrm{Spec} B$ are affine. Suppose that $T \rightarrow S$ is formally ramified. By [8, 17.2.1], this means that $\Omega_{B/A}^1 \neq 0$, i.e. there exists a A -algebra C and a morphism of A -algebras $\varphi : B \rightarrow C[u]/(u^2)$ whose image is not contained in C . Put $C' = C[u]/(u^2)$. Composing φ with the morphism of C -algebras

$$C' \rightarrow C'[[t]] : u \mapsto u(1+t)$$

if $m = \infty$ and with

$$C' \rightarrow C'[t]/(t^{m+1}) : u \mapsto u(1+t)$$

else, we get an element of $\mathcal{L}_m(T/S)(C')$ which is not contained in the image of

$$\tau_{T/S}^m(C') : T(C') \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_m(T/S)(C')$$

This contradicts the assumption that π_0^m , and hence the section $\tau_{T/S}^m$, are isomorphisms.

Finally, suppose that T is Noetherian and (d) holds. We will deduce (b) with $m = \infty$. We may assume that $S = \operatorname{Spec} A$ and $T = \operatorname{Spec} B$ are affine. Denote by $\mathcal{F}$ the contravariant functor from the category of A -algebras to the category of S -schemes mapping an A -algebra C to the scheme $\operatorname{Spec} C[[t]]$, and, for each $n > 0$, denote by j_n the natural transformation $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ mapping C to the morphism

$$j_n(C) : \operatorname{Spec} C[[t]] \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} C[[t]] : t \mapsto t^n$$

It is easily seen that there exists for each $n > 0$ a unique closed immersion of S -schemes $\iota_n : \mathcal{L}(T/S) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(T/S)$ inducing the map

$$\iota_n(C) : \mathcal{L}(T/S)(C) = T(C[[t]]) \rightarrow T(C[[t^n]]) \subset T(C[[t]]) : x \mapsto x \circ j_n(C)$$

for each A -algebra C (see [15, 3.8] for a more general result). By the Ascending Chain Condition for ideals in a Noetherian ring, any closed immersion of a Noetherian scheme into itself is an isomorphism. Hence, ι_n is an isomorphism, and the inclusion $T(C[[t^n]]) \subset T(C[[t]])$ is a bijection for each $n > 0$ and any A -algebra C . On the other hand, $\bigcap_{n>0} T(C[[t^n]]) = T(C)$, so $T(C) \subset T(C[[t]])$ is a bijection and $\tau_{T/S} : T \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(T/S)$ is an isomorphism, inverse to π_0 .

(2,3) These are all easy formal consequences of the infinitesimal lifting criteria for formally smooth, resp. formally étale morphisms [8, 17.1.1].

(4a) follows from (2c) (with $m = \infty$ and $n = 0$) since k' is formally smooth over k by [5, 19.6.1].

(4b) By the existence of the section $\tau_{T/S}$, which is a closed immersion, $(\pi_0)_{red}$ is an isomorphism iff it is a bijection on the level of underlying sets.

Assume that k'/k is algebraic, let K be a k -field, and $\varphi : k' \rightarrow K[[t]]$ a morphism of k -algebras. It suffices to show that the image of φ is contained in K . This follows from Lemma 2.4.

Conversely, assume that $(\pi_0)_{red}$ is an isomorphism and that k' is finite over a separably generated extension L of k . We may assume that L is separably closed in k' . Let $K = k(u_i)_{i \in I}$ be a purely transcendental extension of k inside L such that L/K is separable and algebraic. We have to show that I is empty; suppose the contrary. Consider the morphism of k -algebras $\varphi : K \rightarrow K[[t]]$ mapping u_i to $u_i + t$ for each $i \in I$. By Hensel's Lemma, it extends uniquely to a morphism $\varphi : L \rightarrow L[[t]]$ whose composition with reduction modulo t is the identity on L . If α is a purely inseparable element of k' over L , then for $i \gg 0$, φ extends uniquely to a morphism $L(\alpha) \rightarrow L(\alpha)[[t^{p^{-i}}]]$ such that the composition with reduction modulo $t^{p^{-i}}$ is the identity on $K(\alpha)$. Reparametrizing by putting $t' = t^{p^{-i}}$ and continuing with L replaced by $L(\alpha)$, we obtain an extension of φ to a morphism $k' \rightarrow k'[[t]]$ whose image is not contained in k' . This contradicts the assumption that $(\pi_0)_{red}$ is an isomorphism.

(5) The implications (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c) $\Rightarrow$ (d) are trivial. We noted already in the proof of (2) that $(\pi_0)_{red}$ is an isomorphism iff it is a bijection on the level of underlying sets. Hence, by Proposition 2.3(1), all properties in the statement can be checked on the fibers and we may assume that $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$ with k a field. Since all the properties are local on T , we may assume that T is connected and $T = \operatorname{Spec} B$ with B a k -algebra of finite type. By Proposition 2.3(5) we may assume that T is reduced.

If (a) holds, then B is a finite field extension of k , and (b) follows from Lemma 2.4.

Now, assume that (b) holds. In order to deduce (a), we have to show that B is a field. If P is a minimal prime ideal B , then B is a field iff B/P is a field, since B is reduced and $\text{Spec } B$ is connected. Hence, we may assume that B is a domain. Denote by K its quotient field. The fact that $\mathcal{L}(T/S) \rightarrow T$ is a bijection implies that the same holds if we replace T by $\text{Spec } K$, by (3). But K/k is finitely generated, so (4) implies that K is algebraic over k , and we can conclude that $B = K$.

Finally, if (d) holds, the arguments in the proof of (1)(d) $\Rightarrow$ (b) (restricted to reduced algebras C) show that (b) holds. $\square$

Remark. Property (4b) does not extend to the jet spaces $\mathcal{L}_m(\text{Spec } k'/k)$. For instance, let k be an imperfect field of characteristic p , pick an element a in $k - k^p$, and put $k' = k[x]/(x^p - a)$. Then $\mathcal{L}_n(\text{Spec } k'/k)_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k'$ is not an isomorphism for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, since $\mathcal{L}_n(\text{Spec } k'/k)_{\text{red}}$ is the closed subscheme of $\text{Spec } k[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ defined by the equations $x_i = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ with $i \cdot p \leq n$.

For the converse implication in (4b), the condition that k' is finite over a separably generated extension of k cannot be omitted: there exist formally unramified field extensions k'/k which are not algebraic. For instance, if k is any field of characteristic $p > 0$ and k' is the perfect closure of $k(u)$, then $\Omega_{k'/\mathbb{Z}}^1 = 0$ because $d(a^p) = p \cdot a^{p-1} \cdot da = 0$ for any element a of k' . Since k'/k is not separable if k is not perfect, the same example shows that the converse of (4a) is false.

Similar examples show that the condition that $T \rightarrow S$ is locally of finite type cannot be dropped in (5), even if we replace “is quasi-finite” by “has discrete fibers” in (5a). For example, if k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 0$ and B is the k -algebra $\cup_{i \geq 0} k[t^{p^{-i}}]$, then B is formally unramified over k but $\text{Spec } B$ is not discrete. $\square$

Corollary 2.6. *If k is any field and X is a k -scheme of finite type, then*

- *the following are equivalent:*
 - (a) *the arc scheme $\mathcal{L}(X/k)$ is Noetherian;*
 - (b) *the truncation morphism $\pi_0 : \mathcal{L}(X/k) \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism;*
 - (c) *the scheme X is étale over k .*
- *the following are equivalent:*
 - (a) *the scheme $\mathcal{L}(X/k)_{\text{red}}$ is Noetherian;*
 - (b) *the morphism $(\pi_0)_{\text{red}} : \mathcal{L}(X/k)_{\text{red}} \rightarrow X_{\text{red}}$ is an isomorphism;*
 - (c) *the scheme X has dimension 0.*

Corollary 2.7. *Let k'/k a field extension, and fix a k' -scheme X .*

- *If k'/k is algebraic, then the forgetful morphism*

$$\mathcal{L}(X/k')_{\text{red}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X/k)_{\text{red}}$$

is an isomorphism.

- *If the field k' is formally unramified over k then the forgetful morphism*

$$\mathcal{L}_n(X/k') \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n(X/k)$$

is an isomorphism for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Proof. This follows immediately from Proposition 2.3(7) and Theorem 2.5(1,4b). $\square$

3. TOPOLOGY OF ARC SPACES

3.1. Basic topological properties of the arc scheme. We collect some elementary topological properties of the arc scheme, which we'll need in the remainder of the article.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of schemes. We denote by $\mathcal{I}(X)$ the set of irreducible components of X , and by $\mathcal{C}(X)$ the set of connected components of X (with their reduced induced structure).*

- (1) *If X is integral, and smooth over S , then $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$ is integral.*
- (2) *For each element X_i of $\mathcal{I}(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}(X)$), the scheme $\mathcal{L}(X_i/S)$ is a union of irreducible (resp. connected) components of $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$. Moreover,*

$$\mathcal{L}(X/S) = \cup_{X_i \in \mathcal{I}(X)} \mathcal{L}(X_i/S) \text{ and } \mathcal{L}(X/S) = \cup_{Y_j \in \mathcal{C}(X)} \mathcal{L}(Y_j/S)$$

(as topological spaces), and if X_i and X_j are distinct elements of $\mathcal{I}(X)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}(X)$) then $\mathcal{L}(X_i/S) \not\subset \mathcal{L}(X_j/S)$.

- (3) *If $S = \text{Spec } k$, with k a field, and k' is an algebraic, purely inseparable field extension of k , then the natural morphism $h : \mathcal{L}(X' \times_k k'/k') \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X/k)$ induced by the base change isomorphism in Proposition 2.3(1), is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. (1) If X is smooth over S , it admits Zariski-locally an étale morphism to affine space $\mathbb{A}_S^d$ by [8, 17.11.4], and $\pi_0^n : \mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{A}_S^d/S) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_S^d$ is obviously a trivial S -fibration with fiber $\mathbb{A}_S^{(n+1)d}$ for each $n \geq 0$. Hence, it follows from integrality of X and Theorem 2.5(3) that $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$ is integral.

(2) These properties follow easily from the fact that the arc scheme functor $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ respects open (resp. closed) immersions, and the fact that for any k -field F , any morphism $\text{Spec } F[[t]] \rightarrow X$ factors through an irreducible component of X since $F[[t]]$ is integral. For the last statement, use the existence of the natural sections $\tau_{X_i/S}$ and $\tau_{X_j/S}$.

(3) The natural projection $\mathcal{L}(X/k) \times_k k' \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X/k)$ is a homeomorphism by [6, 2.4.5]. $\square$

3.2. Arc schemes and differential algebra. In this section, we'll show that arc schemes in characteristic zero admit a natural interpretation in terms of differential algebra. Let (A, δ) be a differential ring. By [2, 1.19] the forgetful functor For from the category of differential (A, δ) -algebras to the category of A -algebras has a left adjoint $R \mapsto R^\infty$. By definition, there is a tautological morphism of A -algebras $R \rightarrow \text{For}(R^\infty)$. In order to avoid confusion we adopt the following notation: if B and C are differential (A, δ) -algebras, we'll write $\text{Hom}_A^\delta(B, C)$ for the set of morphisms of differential (A, δ) -algebras and $\text{Hom}_A(B, C)$ for the set of morphisms of A -algebras $\text{Hom}_A(\text{For}(B), \text{For}(C))$.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume that A is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra endowed with the trivial derivation. Then the forgetful functor For has a right adjoint $R \mapsto R_\infty$. It maps an A -algebra R to the A -algebra $R_\infty = R[[t]]$ endowed with the usual derivation $\delta = \partial_t$ with respect to the parameter t .*

Proof. A morphism of A -algebras $R \rightarrow S$ defines a morphism of differential A -algebras $(R[[t]], \partial_t) \rightarrow (S[[t]], \partial_t)$ in the obvious way, so the functor $(\cdot)_\infty$ is well-defined. Let us check that it is right adjoint to For . Let (B, δ) be any differential A -algebra, and $\varphi : B \rightarrow R$ a morphism of A -algebras. Then φ defines a morphism of differential A -algebras

$$B \rightarrow R_\infty : b \mapsto \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{\varphi(\delta^{(i)} b)}{i!} t^i$$

Conversely, a morphism of differential A -algebras $(B, \delta) \rightarrow R_\infty$ defines a morphism of A -algebras $B \rightarrow R$ by composition with reduction modulo t , and one checks that these correspondences define mutually inverse bijections

$$Hom_A(B, R) = Hom_A^\delta((B, \delta), R_\infty)$$

□

Corollary 3.3. *Let A be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra endowed with the trivial derivation. For any A -algebra R , the R -scheme $\text{Spec } R^\infty$ is canonically isomorphic to the arc scheme $\mathcal{L}(\text{Spec } R/A)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.2 and the fact that $(\cdot)^\infty$ is left adjoint to For , we have for any R -algebra S natural bijections

$$\begin{aligned} Hom_A(For(R^\infty), S) &= Hom_A^\delta(R^\infty, S_\infty) \\ &= Hom_A(R, S[[t]]) \end{aligned}$$

so there is a natural isomorphism of A -schemes $\mathcal{L}(\text{Spec } R/A) \cong \text{Spec } R^\infty$, and one easily checks that it is an isomorphism of R -schemes. □

Corollary 3.4. *If S is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -scheme and X is a formally smooth integral S -scheme, then $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$ is integral.*

Proof. We may assume that X and S are affine, say $X = \text{Spec } R$ and $S = \text{Spec } A$, and it suffices to show that R^∞ is a domain. This follows from the fact that $\Omega_{R/A}^1$ is a projective R -module and the formal properties of the functor $(\cdot)^\infty$; see [2, 1.27]. □

3.3. Kolchin's Irreducibility Theorem for arbitrary $\mathbb{Q}$ -schemes. In [2, Thm. 1] it is shown that, if (k, δ) is a differential field of characteristic zero and R is an integral k -algebra, then R^∞ has a unique minimal prime ideal. This is a very general form of Kolchin's Irreducibility Theorem. In view of Corollary 3.3, it implies that the arc scheme $\mathcal{L}(X/k)$ of an arbitrary irreducible scheme X over a field k of characteristic zero is again irreducible. We will give a short geometric proof of this result.

Lemma 3.5. *If k is a field of characteristic zero, and V a valuation ring containing k , then $\mathcal{L}(\text{Spec } V/k)$ is integral.*

Proof. Consider the endofunctor $\mathcal{G}$ on the category of k -algebras which sends a k -algebra B to the k -algebra of global sections of the k -scheme $\mathcal{L}(\text{Spec } B/k)$. It admits a right adjoint, sending a k -algebra C to the k -algebra $C[[t]]$: use either the fact that the functor $\mathcal{G}$ is isomorphic to $For \circ (\cdot)^\infty$ by Corollary 3.3, or the bijectivity of the completion map (2.1) in the affine case.

Now we can follow the arguments in [2, 1.38]. As a left adjoint, $\mathcal{G}$ commutes with direct limits. By Zariski's Uniformization Theorem, V can be written as a direct limit of domains B_i which are smooth over k . But $\mathcal{G}(B_i)$ is a domain by Proposition 3.1(1), and a direct limit of domains is again a domain. $\square$

If $X \rightarrow S$ is a morphism of schemes, K a S -field and $\varphi : \operatorname{Spec} K[[t]] \rightarrow X$ an element of $\mathcal{L}(X/S)(K)$, then we denote by $\varphi(0)$ the image of the closed point of $\operatorname{Spec} K[[t]]$ in X , and by $\varphi(\eta)$ the image of the generic point of $\operatorname{Spec} K[[t]]$. Note that $\varphi(0) = \pi_0(\varphi)$.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of schemes.*

- (1) *For any point φ on $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$, there exists a point ψ in $\mathcal{L}(\varphi(\eta)/S) \subset \mathcal{L}(X/S)$ such that φ belongs to the Zariski closure of ψ in $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$.*
- (2) *If k is a field of characteristic zero and $S = \operatorname{Spec} k$, then the map*

$$Y \mapsto \mathcal{L}(Y/k)_{\text{red}}$$

defines a bijective correspondence between the irreducible components Y of X and the irreducible components of $\mathcal{L}(X/k)$.

In particular, if X is irreducible, then $\mathcal{L}(X/k)$ is irreducible. Moreover, if ξ is the generic point of X , then $\mathcal{L}(\xi/k)$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(X/k)$.

Proof. To prove (1) one can copy the arguments in the first part of the proof of [10, 2.12]. We repeat them here for the reader's convenience. Let φ be any point of $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$, with residue field K . It corresponds to a morphism of S -schemes $\varphi : \operatorname{Spec} K[[t]] \rightarrow X$. Composing φ with the morphism

$$\operatorname{Spec} K[[u, v]] \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} K[[t]] : t \mapsto u + v$$

we get an element ψ of $X(K[[u, v]])$, i.e. an arc $\zeta : \operatorname{Spec} K[[u]] \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$. It satisfies $\zeta(0) = \varphi$, and the point $\zeta(\eta)$ corresponds to an arc $\psi : \operatorname{Spec} K((u))[[v]] \rightarrow X$ with $\psi(0) = \psi(\eta) = \varphi(\eta)$. Now the result follows from the fact that $\zeta(0)$ is contained in the Zariski closure of $\zeta(\eta)$ in $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$.

Now we prove (2). By Proposition 3.1(2) it suffices to deal with the case where X is integral. Let ξ be the generic point of X . Since k has characteristic zero, ξ is formally smooth over k [5, 19.6.1], so $\mathcal{L}(\xi/k)$ is irreducible by Corollary 3.4. We will show that this set is dense in $\mathcal{L}(X/k)$.

Let ψ be any point of $\mathcal{L}(X/k)$ and put $x = \psi(\eta)$. We have to prove that ψ belongs to the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{L}(\xi/k)$. By (1) the point ψ is a specialization of an element of $\mathcal{L}(x/k)$, so we may assume that $\psi \in \mathcal{L}(x/k)$.

Denote by $k(X)$ the field of rational functions on X . The local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ can be dominated by a valuation v on $k(X)$. Denote by V the valuation ring of v , and by y the closed point of $\operatorname{Spec} V$. The morphism of schemes $V \rightarrow X$ induces a morphism of arc schemes $\mathcal{L}(\operatorname{Spec} V/k) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X/k)$. Its image contains both $\mathcal{L}(\xi/k)$ and $\mathcal{L}(x/k)$, by Theorem 2.5(4a). Hence, we may assume that $X = \operatorname{Spec} V$. Then $\mathcal{L}(X/k)$ is integral by Lemma 3.5. We denote by φ the generic point of $\mathcal{L}(X/k)$. If $\varphi(0)$ were contained in a strict closed subset Z of X , then we would have $\pi_0^{-1}(Z) = \mathcal{L}(X/k)$. This is impossible because of the existence of the section $\sigma_{X/k}$. Hence, φ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(\xi/k)$, and this set is dense in $\mathcal{L}(X/k)$. $\square$

Theorem 3.6 generalizes [14, 3.3] to arbitrary k -schemes, avoiding the use of resolution of singularities.

Corollary 3.7. *For any morphism of schemes $X \rightarrow S$ and any closed subscheme Z of X with complement U , the scheme $\mathcal{L}(U/S)$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}(X/S) \setminus \mathcal{L}(Z/S)$.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 3.6(1). $\square$

Remark. Even when X is an irreducible complex variety, the jet schemes $\mathcal{L}_n(X/\mathbb{C})$ can be reducible. See [13, 0.1] for the relation with the nature of the singularities of X . $\square$

3.4. Wedge schemes.

Definition 3.8. *For any morphism of schemes $X \rightarrow S$ and any integer $e \geq 0$, the e -th wedge scheme $\mathcal{L}^{(e)}(X/S)$ is defined inductively by $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}(X/S) = X$ and*

$$\mathcal{L}^{(e)}(X/S) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{(e-1)}(X/S)/S)$$

for $e > 0$.

By definition, for any local S -algebra A , there exists a natural bijection

$$\mathcal{L}^{(e)}(X/S)(A) = X(A[[t_1, \dots, t_e]])$$

If A is a field, these objects are called e -wedges on X . They play an important role in the study of the Nash problem [16, 5.1].

More generally, for any tuple $i \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\})^e$ one can define a i -jet scheme $\mathcal{L}^i(X/S)$ in the obvious way; Definition 3.8 corresponds to the case $i = (\infty, \dots, \infty)$. We leave the definition of the i -jet schemes and the various truncation morphisms to the reader.

Proposition 3.9. *If k is a field of characteristic zero, then for any irreducible k -scheme X and any integer $e > 0$, the scheme of e -wedges $\mathcal{L}^{(e)}(X/k)$ is irreducible.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.6 by induction on e . $\square$

3.5. Approximation by curves. In order to get a better hold on the topology of arc schemes of varieties over a field of arbitrary characteristic, we show in this section that arcs can be approximated by algebraic curves. This result, which has an independent interest, will be applied in Proposition 3.18. To simplify notation, we will henceforth write $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$ and $\mathcal{L}(X)$ instead of $\mathcal{L}_n(X/S)$ and $\mathcal{L}(X/S)$ if the base scheme S is clear from the context.

Lemma 3.10. *For any field k and any k -scheme of finite type X , the set $\mathcal{L}(X)_{alg}$ is Zariski-dense in $\mathcal{L}(X)$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.3(1) we may assume that k is algebraically closed. The sets $\pi_n^{-1}(U)$, with U an open subscheme of $\mathcal{L}_n(X)$, form a basis for the Zariski-topology on $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Assume that $\pi_n^{-1}(U)$ is non-empty. Since the scheme $(\pi_n^m)^{-1}(U)$ is non-empty for each $m \geq 0$, it must contain a k -rational point because it is of finite type over k . Applying Greenberg's Approximation Theorem (Theorem 1.1) to the $k[[t]]$ -scheme of finite type $X \times_k k[[t]]$, we see that $\pi_n^{-1}(U)$ contains a k -rational point. $\square$

Remark. Any point of $\mathcal{L}(X)_{alg}$ is closed in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. If k is uncountable, then $\mathcal{L}(X)_{alg}$ coincides with the set of closed points on $\mathcal{L}(X)$, but this does not hold in general [9, 2.9]. $\square$

Definition 3.11. We denote by $Cu(X)$ the set of irreducible curves on X , and by $\mathcal{L}cu(X)$ the subset

$$\tau_{X/k}(X_{alg}) \cup \left(\bigcup_{C \in Cu(X)} \mathcal{L}(C)_{alg} \right)$$

of $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

In other words, a point x of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}cu(X)$ iff its residue field k' is algebraic over k and the corresponding arc $\psi_x : \text{Spec } k'[[t]] \rightarrow X$ maps the generic point of $\text{Spec } k'[[t]]$ to a point of X whose residue field has transcendence degree at most one over k . Note that

$$\tau_{X/k}(X_{alg}) \subset \left(\bigcup_{C \in Cu(X)} \mathcal{L}(C)_{alg} \right)$$

as soon as X has no zero-dimensional connected components, since any closed point on a connected k -scheme of finite type X of dimension ≥ 1 is contained in a curve on X .

Theorem 3.12. Let k be any field, and X a k -scheme of finite type. The arc space $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is densely covered by curves in the following sense: the set $\mathcal{L}cu(X)$ is t -adically dense in $\mathcal{L}(X)_{alg}$. In particular, $\mathcal{L}cu(X)$ is Zariski dense in $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

Proof. Let k^{alg} be an algebraic closure of k , and put $X' = X \times_k k^{alg}$. It is clear from the definition that the natural surjective morphism $\mathcal{L}(X') \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ maps $\mathcal{L}cu(X')$ onto $\mathcal{L}cu(X)$. Hence, we may assume that k is algebraically closed.

Denote by $\mathcal{O}$ the local ring of $\mathbb{A}_k^1 = \text{Spec } k[t]$ at the origin, and by $\mathcal{O}^h$ its henselization. This is a henselian discrete valuation ring, and its completion is isomorphic to $k[[t]]$. Moreover, $\mathcal{O}$ is excellent since it is essentially of finite type over a field [6, 7.8.3], so $\mathcal{O}^h$ is excellent by [8, 18.7.6]. Hence, we can apply Greenberg's Approximation Theorem (Theorem 1.1) to the $\mathcal{O}^h$ -scheme $X \times_k \mathcal{O}^h$.

It implies that for any point x in $\mathcal{L}(X)(k) = X(k[[t]])$ and any integer $n \geq 0$, the image of x under the natural map $X(k[[t]]) \rightarrow X(k[t]/t^{n+1})$ lifts to a point x' in $X(\mathcal{O}^h)$. Since X is of finite type over k and $\mathcal{O}^h$ is a direct limit of essentially étale extensions of $\mathcal{O}$ [8, 18.6.5], the point x' is defined over such an extension $\mathcal{O}'$. The generic point of $\mathcal{O}'$ is finite over the generic point of $\mathcal{O}$, so it has transcendence degree one over k , and the scheme-theoretic closure of x' in X has dimension one. This shows that $\mathcal{L}cu(X)$ is t -adically dense in $\mathcal{L}(X)(k)$. By Lemma 3.10, $\mathcal{L}cu(X)$ is Zariski-dense in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. $\square$

3.6. Decomposition of arc spaces. In this section, we consider the decomposition into irreducible, resp. connected components, of arc schemes of schemes of finite type over an arbitrary base field k .

Lemma 3.13. If k is any field and C is an irreducible (resp. connected) k -curve, then $\mathcal{L}(C)$ is irreducible (resp. connected).

Proof. By Propositions 2.3(5) and 3.1(3) we may assume that C is reduced and that k is perfect. We denote by $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ the normalization map.

First, assume that C is irreducible. Denote by $nN(C) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ the finite set of points where C is not normal. The natural morphism $h : \mathcal{L}(\tilde{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(C)$ is surjective: if x is contained in $\mathcal{L}(C) \setminus \mathcal{L}(nN(C))$ then the corresponding arc lifts

(uniquely) to $\tilde{C}$ by the valuative criterion for properness [4, 7.3.8]. If x is contained in $\mathcal{L}(x_i/k)$ then x is the constant arc $\tau_{X/k}(x_i)$ at x_i by Corollary 2.6, so x lifts to the constant arc at any point of the inverse image of x_i in $\tilde{C}$.

Since k is perfect and C irreducible, the normalization $\tilde{C}$ is smooth and connected, so $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{C})$ is irreducible by Proposition 3.1(1) and the same holds for $\mathcal{L}(C)$ by surjectivity of h .

Now assume that C is connected, and denote by $C_1, \dots, C_\ell$ its irreducible components. By the first part of the proof, $\mathcal{L}(C_i)$ is irreducible, and hence connected, for each i . By Proposition 3.1(2), $\mathcal{L}(C) = \cup_i \mathcal{L}(C_i)$. But if $C_i \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{L}(C_i) \cap \mathcal{L}(C_j) \neq \emptyset$ (consider the constant arc at any point of $C_i \cap C_j$). Since C is connected, this implies that $\mathcal{L}(C)$ is connected as well. $\square$

Corollary 3.14. *Let k be any field and let C a k -curve. The map*

$$Z \mapsto \mathcal{L}(Z/k)_{red}$$

defines a bijection between the set of connected (resp. irreducible) components of C and the set of connected (resp. irreducible) components of $\mathcal{L}(C)$ (all taken with their reduced induced structure).

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.13 and Proposition 3.1(2). $\square$

Theorem 3.15. *Let k be any field and X a k -scheme of finite type.*

- (1) *If X is irreducible, then $\mathcal{L}(X) \setminus \mathcal{L}(nSm(X))$ is irreducible.*
- (2) *The map*

$$Z \mapsto \mathcal{L}(Z/k)_{red}$$

defines a bijection between the set of irreducible components of X which are geometrically reduced, and the set of irreducible components of $\mathcal{L}(X) \setminus \mathcal{L}(nSm(X))$.

Proof. (1) It follows from Proposition 3.1(1) that $\mathcal{L}(Sm(X))$ is irreducible, so the result follows from Theorem 3.6(1).

- (2) Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be the set of irreducible components of X . Then

$$\mathcal{L}(X) \setminus \mathcal{L}(nSm(X)) = \cup_{i \in I} (\mathcal{L}(X_i) \setminus \mathcal{L}(nSm(X)))$$

by Proposition 3.1(2). Moreover, $\mathcal{L}(X_i) \setminus \mathcal{L}(nSm(X))$ is open in $\mathcal{L}(X_i) \setminus \mathcal{L}(nSm(X_i))$, and hence, by (1), an irreducible closed subset of $\mathcal{L}(X) \setminus \mathcal{L}(nSm(X))$ for each $i \in I$. Finally, for $i \neq j$ in I ,

$$\mathcal{L}(X_i) \setminus \mathcal{L}(nSm(X)) \subset \mathcal{L}(X_j) \setminus \mathcal{L}(nSm(X))$$

implies that $X_i \setminus nSm(X) \subset X_j$ by the existence of the section $\tau_{X/k}$. This happens iff $X_i \subset nSm(X)$, i.e. iff X is not geometrically reduced. $\square$

Corollary 3.16. *If k is any field and X is a k -scheme of finite type, then $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has finitely many irreducible components.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.1(2,3), we may assume that X is integral and that k is perfect. By induction on the dimension of X , it suffices to show that $\mathcal{L}(X) \setminus \mathcal{L}(Sing(X))$ has finitely many irreducible components. But since k is perfect, $Sing(X) = nSm(X)$ so $\mathcal{L}(X) \setminus \mathcal{L}(Sing(X))$ is irreducible by Theorem 3.15. $\square$

Lemma 3.17. *If k is any field and X is a connected k -scheme of finite type of dimension ≥ 1 , then for any pair of closed points $\{x, y\}$ on X , there exists a connected curve C on X containing x and y .*

Proof. The statement is classical and left to the reader. See, for example, [12], Exercise 8.1.5. $\square$

Proposition 3.18. *Let k be any field, and X a k -scheme of finite type.*

- (1) *If X is connected, then $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is connected.*
- (2) *If X is irreducible and $nSm(X)$ is a finite set of points, then $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is irreducible. In particular, if k is perfect and X is irreducible with only isolated singularities, then $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is irreducible.*

Proof. (1) Any connected component is a union of irreducible components. By Corollary 3.16, $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has finitely many irreducible components. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}(X)$ has finitely many connected components, and they are open.

Assume that U_1 and U_2 are two distinct connected components of $\mathcal{L}(X)$. By Theorem 3.12 there exist curves C_1 and C_2 on X such that $\mathcal{L}(C_i)$ intersects U_i for $i = 1, 2$. By Lemma 3.13, $\mathcal{L}(C_i)$ is contained in U_i . Let x_i be a closed point on C_i for $i = 1, 2$. By Proposition 3.17 there exists a connected curve D on X containing both x_1 and x_2 . This means that $\mathcal{L}(D)$ intersects U_1 and U_2 , which contradicts the fact that $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is connected by Lemma 3.13.

(2) By (1), $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is connected, and by Theorem 3.15, $\mathcal{L}(X) \setminus \mathcal{L}(nSm(X))$ is irreducible. Since $\mathcal{L}(nSm(X))$ is topologically a discrete finite set of points by Corollary 2.6, this implies that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is irreducible. $\square$

A counterexample over an imperfect field. If k is a perfect field and X a k -scheme of finite type, then $Sing(X)$ and $nSm(X)$ coincide. In this case, Theorem 3.15 was proven in [17, 2.9] (the condition that X is reduced seems to be missing in [17, 2.9]). We'll now show that, over an arbitrary field, it is not possible to replace $nSm(X)$ by $Sing(X)$ in the statement of Theorem 3.15.

Note that if k has positive characteristic, it is not difficult to construct examples of irreducible k -varieties X such that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is not irreducible (see [14, Rmq. 1], or [11, Exercise IV.6/3.d])). The following counterexample has the additional property that the variety X is regular, and hence shows that [17, 2.9] does not extend to imperfect base fields.

Theorem 3.19. *If k is an imperfect field, then there exists a regular irreducible k -variety X such that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is not irreducible.*

Proof. Denote by p the characteristic of k and choose an element a in $k - k^p$. Consider the polynomial

$$f = x^p + yz^p - a \in k[x, y, z]$$

It is clear that f is irreducible. We denote by X the hypersurface in $\mathbb{A}_k^3 = \text{Spec } k[x, y, z]$ defined by f .

Claim 1: X is regular. We only have to show that each point of $nSm(X)$ is a regular point of X . The closed subscheme $nSm(X)$ of X is defined by a single equation $z = 0$ and it is regular of dimension one. Hence, any point of $nSm(X)$ is a regular point of X .

Claim 2: $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is reducible. Consider the polynomial algebra

$$A = k[x_i, y_i, z_i]_{i \geq 0}$$

over k . Developing the expression

$$f\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} x_i t^i, \sum_{i \geq 0} y_i t^i, \sum_{i \geq 0} z_i t^i\right)$$

into a power series over A , we obtain an expression

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} F_i(x_0, y_0, z_0, \dots, x_i, y_i, z_i) t^i.$$

The arc scheme $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is the closed subscheme of the infinite-dimensional affine space $\text{Spec } A$ defined by the ideal $I = (F_0, F_1, \dots)$. Looking at the equation $F_1 = 0$ one sees that the closed subset $V(z_0) = \pi_0^{-1}(nSm(X))$ of $\mathcal{L}(X)$ contains the open subset $D(y_1)$ of $\mathcal{L}(X)$. The set $D(y_1)$ is non-empty: it contains the maximal ideal

$$(x_0^p - a, y_0, z_0, x_1, y_1 - 1, z_1, x_i, y_i, z_i)_{i \geq 2}$$

of A/I . Since $V(z_0) \neq \mathcal{L}(X)$ (it is disjoint from $\tau_{X/k}(Sm(X))$), we can conclude that $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is reducible. $\square$

Remark. Note that a variety X as in the statement of Theorem 3.19 must have dimension ≥ 2 , by Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 3.13. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud. *Néron models*, volume 21. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 1990.
- [2] H. Gillet. Differential algebra - a scheme theory approach. *preprint*, www.math.uic.edu/~henri/preprints/DiffAlg.pdf, consulted on 10/9/2008.
- [3] M. Greenberg. Rational points in Henselian discrete valuation rings. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 31:59–64, 1966.
- [4] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique, II. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 8:5–222, 1961.
- [5] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique, IV, Première partie. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 20:5–259, 1964.
- [6] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique, IV, Deuxième partie. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 24:5–231, 1965.
- [7] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique, IV, Troisième partie. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 28:5–255, 1966.
- [8] A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné. Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique, IV, Quatrième partie. *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Étud. Sci.*, 32:5–361, 1967.
- [9] S. Ishii. The arc space of a toric variety. *J. Algebra*, 278(2):666–683, 2004.
- [10] Shihoko Ishii and János Kollár. The Nash problem on arc families of singularities. *Duke Math. J.*, 120(3):601–620, 2003.
- [11] E.R. Kolchin. *Differential algebra and algebraic groups.*, volume 54 of *Pure and Applied Mathematics*. New York-London: Academic Press, 1973.
- [12] Q. Liu. *Algebraic geometry and arithmetic curves*, volume 6 of *Oxford Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Oxford University Press, 2002.
- [13] Mircea Mustață. Jet schemes of locally complete intersection canonical singularities. *Invent. Math.*, 145(3):397–424, 2001.
- [14] J. Nicaise and J. Sebag. Le théorème d’irréductibilité de Kolchin. *C.R.Ac.Sci.*, 341(2):103–106, 2005.
- [15] J. Nicaise and J. Sebag. Motivic Serre invariants and Weil restriction. *J. Algebra*, 319(4):1585–1610, 2008.

- [16] Ana J. Reguera. A curve selection lemma in spaces of arcs and the image of the Nash map. *Compos. Math.*, 142(1):119–130, 2006.
- [17] Ana J. Reguera. Towards the singular locus of the space of arcs. *to appear in Am. J. Math.*, 2008.
- [18] P. Vojta. Jets via Hasse-Schmidt derivations. In Zannier, U., editor, *Diophantine geometry*, pages 335–361. Pisa: Edizioni della Normale, 2007.

JOHANNES NICAISE, UNIVERSITÉ LILLE 1, LABORATOIRE PAINLEVÉ, CNRS - UMR 8524, CITÉ SCIENTIFIQUE, 59655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ CÉDEX, FRANCE
E-mail address: `johannes.nicaise@math.univ-lille1.fr`

JULIEN SEBAG, UNIVERSITÉ BORDEAUX 1, IMB, LABORATOIRE A2X, 351 COURS DE LA LIBÉRATION, 33405 TALENCE CEDEX, FRANCE
E-mail address: `julien.sebag@math.u-bordeaux1.fr`